

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/723,323	11/26/2003	Enrico Alessi	364659-1003	9467	
33914 7590 10/28/2008 GARDERE WYNNE SEWELL LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY SECTION			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			LIN, JERRY		
3000 THANKSGIVING TOWER 1601 ELM ST		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
DALLAS, TX 75201-4761			1631		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/28/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/723 323 ALESSI ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit JERRY LIN 1631 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 August 2008. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1 and 3-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-12 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3-8 and 13-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC/G5/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______

Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/723,323 Page 2

Art Unit: 1631

DETAILED ACTION

 Applicants' arguments, filed August 22, 2008, have been fully considered and they are deemed to be persuasive. The following rejections are newly applied. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

Status of the Claims

Claims 1, 3-8 and 13 -17 are under examination.

Claims 9-12 are withdrawn as being drawn to a nonelected invention. The election was made with traverse

Claim 2 is cancelled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

 Claims 1, 3-8, and 13-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The instant claims are drawn to a computational method of determining coregulated and co-expressed genes. However, a method must be tied to another
category of invention to be patentable subject matter (For further explanation see, Ex
Parte Langemyr (No. 2008-1495, decided 5/28/2008), available at
http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/dcom/bpai/informative_opinions.html). In the instant

Application/Control Number: 10/723,323 Page 3

Art Unit: 1631

case, the claimed method steps are not tied to another category of invention, and thus

are non-statutory.

Response to Arguments

The instant claims were previously rejected as non-statutory as being drawn to a

judicial exception without a practical application. Applicants have amended the claims

to include a step of outputting to a user which provides a practical application to the

claimed judicial exception. However, the instant process claims do not recite a tie to

another category of invention, and thus are must be rejected as non-statutory. This is a

new grounds of rejection.

Withdrawn Rejections

5. Applicant's arguments, filed December 17, 2007, with respect to the rejection

made under 35 U.S.C. §103 as being unpatentable over Quackenbush, Getz et al.,

Dougherty et al. and Tolley have been fully considered and are persuasive.

Quackenbush does not teach pairing clusters if the characteristic parameter is larger

than a threshold, and the combinations of Getz et al. are not a pair combination of two

datasets of genes. This rejection has been withdrawn.

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Art Unit: 1631

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JERRY LIN whose telephone number is (571)272-2561. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00-5:30pm, M-TH.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marjorie A. Moran can be reached on (571) 272-0720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jerry Lin/ Examiner, Art Unit 1631 10/25/08